1	2	3
4.	Delhi	6,460
5.	Haryana	54,15,925
6.	Meghalaya	3,00,000
7.	Tamil Nadu	53,50,000
8.	West Bengal	47,75,694
9.	Uttar Pradesh	64,50,000
10.	Chandigarh	89,640
11.	Pondicherry	1,70,000
	Total	2,56,98,709

[Translation]

Compensation to farmers of Delhi

220. SHRI ASHOK PRADHAN : Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware that inadequate compensation is paid to the farmers for acquisition of their land in Delhi; and

(b) if so, the details and reasons therefor ?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T. G. VENKATARAMAN) : (a) and (b) The Compensation is paid to the farmers for acquisition of their land in Delhi, as per the parameters prescribed under sections 23 & 24 and other relevant sections of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

[English]

Sanitation facilities for urban population

221. SHRI R. SAMBASIVA RAO : Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government have sanctioned 761 schemes with project cost of Rs.1062.64 crore for construction of flush Latrines;

(b) if so, the details thereof, state-wise; and

(c) the name of the towns in Andhra Pradesh likely to be covered under the scheme ?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATARAMAN) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Under the Integrated Low Cost Sanitation Scheme for liberation of scavengers, no specific amount is allocated State-wise for its implementation. Keeping in view the physical progress and expenditure incurred by the concerned implementing agency, subsidy is released for the sanctioned schemes.

The State-Wise details of the schemes sanctioned, their project cost and subsidy sanctioned is at Statement.

(c) Recently 8 schemes have been sanctioned under the programme, for Bhainsa, Chirala, Guntakal, Miryalguda, Qutabullapur, Raydurg, Tandur and Uppal Kalan towns, in Andhra Pradesh.

Statement

Low cost sanitation scheme for liberation

(Rs. in Lakhs)

S. No.	Name of the State	No. of Schemes	Project Cost	Subsidy Sanctioned
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	76	11175.50	2964.73
2.	Assam	27	3908.27	1284.59
3.	Bihar	9	2607.32	1107.56
4.	Goa	1	2243.66	0.00
5.	Haryana	85	4730.90	2034.94
6.	J & K	9	1934.76	827.22
7.	Karnataka	66	7315.53	1542.64
8.	Kerala	2	668.84	159.28
9.	Madhya Pradesh	32	2256.15	952.49
10.	Maharashtra	11	3651.63	1123.40
11.	Manipur	3	215.78	88.49
12.	Meghalaya	3	253.99	66.67
13.	Mizoram	1	50.00	3.45
14.	Orissa	63	1219.92	510.12
15.	Punjab	78	14734.89	5653.62
16.	Rajasthan	130	12179.34	4585.40
17.	Tamil Nadu	86	7713.78	1787.69

1	2	3	4	5
18.	Tripura	1	778.25	235.14
19.	Uttar Pradesh	65	13472.76	5888.11
20.	West Bengal	12	15002.51	5482.04
21.	A. & Nicobar	1	150.74	33.01
	Grand Total	761	106263.52	36330.89

Report of Mohan Dharia Committee on Wastelands Development

222. SHRI SANAT KUMAR MANDAL : Will the Minister of RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the date of submission of the report of Mohan Dharia Committee on Wastelands Development to the Government ;

(b) the salient features of the recommendations made by this Committee;

(c) the reaction of the Government on each of the recommendations; and

(d) the reasons for delay in implementing the recommendations and the time by which these are likely to be implemented ?

THE MINISTER OF RURAL AREAS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI KINJARAPPU YERRANNAIDU) : (a) December 8, 1995.

(b) and (c) A Statement is attached.

(d) As various Ministries/Departments of the Government of India were concerned with the recommendations their view/comments were called before taking further action in the matter. Most of the recommendations have already been accepted by the Government and Ministries/Departments/State Governments have been requested to take further necessary action in the matter. The recommendations listed at serial nos. 3 & 10 of the statement are under active consideration of the Govornent and the decision will be taken in due course.

Statement

S.No.	Recommendation	Reaction of the Government
1	2	3
1.	There is a need for preparation of perspective plan for 175 million hectares of degraded wastelands in the country to be implemented over a period of 10-15 years.	The recommendation have not been accepted by the Government. There is a need to focus attention on planning and implementation of smaller projects through State Governments.
2.	Creation of Central Land Use Authority for Land Management.	The recommendation has not been accepted by the Government.
3.	Creation of Department of Land Resources for management of degraded lands.	The recommendation is still under consideration of the Government.
4.	Forest and Public Wastelands should be properly maintained.	The recommendation has been accepted. Concerned Ministries/Departments/State Govts. have been requested to take appropriate action.
5.	Natural Regeneration & Social Fencing should be encouraged.	——do——
6.	Scientific watershed approach may be adopted for sustainable development.	——do——
7.	Urgent measures to prevent soil erosion are imperative. Minimum soil loss concept should be encouraged.	——do——
8.	Reclamation of waterlogged and saline soils should be encouraged.	——do——
9.	Containment of Desert should be planned & implemented.	The recommendation hass been accepted. Concerned Ministries/Departments have been requested to take apporpriate action.